## T Consulting

1396 White Bridge Road
Chittenango, NY 13037
Tel: (315) 391-5110 Fax: (315) 687-6267

January 25, 2022
APD Engineering \& Architecture, PLLC
615 Fishers Run
Victor, NY 14564
Attn: Ms. Michelle Lipke

## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

Dear Ms. Lipke:
I have completed my review of traffic operations associated with the proposed Burger King development located at 1997, 2003 and 2007 Ridge Road in the Town of West Seneca, NY. This letter summarizes the work completed in this review as well as my findings.

## Project Understanding

The proposed development is located at 1997, 2003 and 2007 Ridge Road in West Seneca, NY. The site is currently occupied by two single family homes and a vacant parcel, which will be consolidated into one lot for the proposed development. The proposed development includes a 2,730 SF Burger King restaurant with drive through operations. Access to the development is proposed via one full access driveway on the west side of the building located approximately 360 feet west of the Orchard Park Road traffic signal, and one exit only driveway on the eastern side of the building located approximately 250 feet west of the signal. There is also a cross connection to Wendy's in the rear of the site, however all traffic is assumed to use the Ridge Road driveways for a worst case analysis.

A site plan developed by APD Engineering \& Architecture, dated April 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2021$ has been attached.

## Data Collection

Site visits were conducted on Wednesday - January $19^{\text {th }}, 2022$ to collect the following:

- Existing Traffic Volume Counts - Traffic turning movement counts were collected at the intersection of Ridge Road with Orchard Park Road during the weekday morning (7-9am) and evening (4-6pm) peak travel periods to ensure that actual peak hours of the adjacent streets were captured. Separate heavy vehicles counts were collected by approach. There were minimal pedestrian volumes observed during the traffic count periods and all area schools were in session.
- Ridge Road Gap Data - Gap data was collected to assess the ability for vehicles to turn in and out of the proposed site driveways on Ridge Road. In order for a vehicle to turn right out of the site, or left into the site, the vehicle only requires a gap in the eastbound direction on Ridge Road. A vehicle requires a gap in traffic in both directions at the same time to turn left out of
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997/2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

the site onto Ridge Road. These gaps in traffic were observed and timed on Ridge Road at the locations of the proposed full access driveway during the weekday morning and weekday evening traffic count periods. The gaps were then converted to a number of vehicles that could turn left or right out of the proposed site during each gap and then totaled for the peak hour. For example, one vehicle can turn from the driveway with a 6-9 second gap in traffic, two can turn with a 10-13 second gap, 3 with a 14-17 second gap, 4 with an 18-19 second gap, etc.

- Traffic Queue Data - Traffic queues in the eastbound left, through and through/right lanes on Ridge Road at the Orchard Park Road signal were observed and recorded at the beginning of each green phase throughout the traffic count periods in order to identify average and maximum traffic queues, and any impacts they may have on access to the site.
- Spot Speed Measurements - 50 speed measurements were collected in each direction on Ridge Road to identify average and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile operating speeds in the area passing the site driveways. The data was collected for free flow traffic during off-peak times. The weather was clear and the roadway was dry.
- Sight Distance Measurements - Sight lines looking east/west along Ridge Road from the proposed site driveways were collected for comparison to design standards in order to confirm that adequate sight lines are available for safe ingress and egress from the site.
- Operational Data - Other data needed to evaluate traffic operations, such as intersection geometry, control, and speeds limits were also collected. Existing signal timing data was obtained from the NYSDOT to ensure that the signal was properly modeled.


## Existing Operations

Ridge Road has two through lanes in each direction with a hatched center lane passing the site driveways. The center lane hatching opens to form an eastbound left turn lane at the Orchard Park Road intersection immediately to the east of the proposed eastern exit only driveway. Orchard Park Road has two through lanes in each direction passing Ridge Road with auxiliary northbound and southbound left turn lanes.

Based on the traffic counts collected, the peak hours were identified as follows:
Morning Peak Hour - 8:00am to 9:00am
Evening Peak Hour $-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to $5: 30 \mathrm{pm}$
The 2022 existing traffic volumes collected in January are shown in the attached Figure 1 for the morning and evening peak hours.

T Consulting

Ms. Lipke
January 25, 2022
Page 3 of 8

## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997/2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

The existing traffic counts were reviewed and compared to historical traffic volumes on Ridge Road to identify any necessary adjustments to account for seasonal adjustments or current impacts from the Covid pandemic. Based on a directional count collected on October $15^{\text {th }}, 2015$, collected 1,560 feet to the east of Langer Road, Ridge Road carried 434 vehicles eastbound/481 vehicles westbound during the morning peak hour and 743 vehicles eastbound/636 vehicles westbound during the evening peak hour. Compared to the 2022 existing traffic counts, the historical counts are approximately $7 \%$ higher during the morning peak hour and $22 \%-34 \%$ higher during the evening peak hour. It is noted that historical AADT traffic volumes dropped by $7.5 \%$ between 2015 and 2019 so existing traffic volumes would be expected to be lower than 2015 volumes. In order to provide the most conservative analysis of traffic operations in the area, the 2022 existing traffic volumes were adjusted by increasing all traffic movements by $+10 \%$ during the morning peak hour and $+25 \%$ during evening peak hour. The adjusted 2022 existing traffic volumes used for this study are shown in Figure 1.

Ridge Road carries approximately 510 vehicles eastbound/496 vehicles westbound passing the site during the morning peak hour, and 691 vehicles eastbound/650 vehicles westbound passing the site during the evening peak hour.

Based on the gap data collected, there were sufficient gaps in traffic observed to accommodate approximately 400 or more vehicles turning right onto Ridge Road from the site driveways during both peak hours. These gaps would also be available for vehicles turning left into the site from Ridge Road. There were sufficient gaps observed to accommodate approximately 337 vehicles turning left out of the site onto Ridge Road during the morning peak hour and 241 vehicles turning left out during the evening peak hour. Given that the observed gaps could accommodate over 10 times the projected traffic volumes accessing the site, there are more than sufficient gaps anticipated even with the $10 \%-$ $25 \%$ traffic volume adjustments. The gap data is attached.

The average traffic queues in the eastbound left turn lane on Ridge Road at Orchard Park Road were 1.3 vehicles during the morning peak hour and 1.4 vehicles during the evening peak hour, with maximum queues of 3 vehicles observed during both peak hours. These queues did not extend out of the eastbound left turn lane. The eastbound through and through/right lanes had average traffic queues of 5.1-6.3 vehicles in each lane during the morning peak hour and 7.5-7.7 vehicles in each lane during the evening peak hour. The maximum queues observed in the eastbound through and through/right lanes were 15-17 vehicles during the morning peak hour and 13-16 vehicles during the evening peak hour. With 360 feet of storage space between the signal and the full access site driveway, there is sufficient storage for up to 14 vehicles without impacting entering access to the site. The maximum queues observed temporarily blocked the proposed full access driveway for 1 out 23 signal cycles during the morning peak hour and 2 out of 24 signal cycles during the evening pea hour. With only minor temporary blockage of the full access driveway, there are no significant concerns with traffic queues impacting access to the site. Restriping of the existing center lane hatched area to provide a short westbound left turn lane entering the full access driveway could be considered to avoid any
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997/2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

impacts on westbound traffic flow if a vehicle has to temporarily wait to turn left into the site from Ridge Road.

The posted speed limit passing the site driveway is 35 mph on Ridge Road. The speed data collected indicates that the average speeds passing the site on Ridge Road are 39 mph eastbound and 41 mph westbound. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds based on the data collected are 42 mph eastbound and 43 mph westbound on Ridge Road. The speed data has been attached.

The following table provides a summary of the recommended sight distances along Ridge Road from the AASHTO A Policy on Design of Highways and Streets as well as the available sight distances based on field measurements. The speed limit in the area is 35 mph on Ridge Road, however the speed data collected indicates that the operating speed is closer to $42-43 \mathrm{mph}$ in both directions. Therefore 45 mph was used for the sight distance review. The recommended sight distance for left turning vehicles was adjusted to account for the two additional lanes to be crossed when exiting the site

## Sight Distance Summary

| Location | Operating Speed | Direction | AASHTO <br> Recommended Sight Distance | Available Sight Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Full Access Site Driveway @ Ridge Road - Turning Left | 45 mph | Looking Left Looking Right | 565 feet 565 feet | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500+\text { feet } \\ & 900+\text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
| West Full Access Site Driveway @ Ridge Road - Turning Right | 45 mph | Looking Left | 430 feet | 1,500+ feet |
| East Exit Only Site Driveway @ Ridge Road - Turning Left | 45 mph | Looking Left Looking Right | 565 feet 565 feet | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500+\text { feet } \\ & 800+\text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
| East Exit Only Site Driveway @ Ridge Road - Turning Right | 45 mph | Looking Left | 430 feet | 1,500+ feet |

There are more than adequate sight distances available looking in both directions along Ridge Road from the proposed access locations based on the observed operating speeds of $42-43 \mathrm{mph}$. There are no concerns with sight distances and safety for ingress and egress from the proposed site driveways.

Capacity analysis of the existing traffic operations was completed using Synchro, an industry accepted standard for the analysis of both signalized and unsignalized intersections that is based on methodologies developed in the Highway Capacity Manual. Intersection and individual movement operations are graded in terms of Level of Service ranging from A to F, as described in the HCM. For example, an unsignalized intersection movement with an average delay of 5 seconds per vehicle is considered a Level of Service A while an average delay per vehicle of 20 seconds is considered a C. A Level of Service D or better is generally considered acceptable for a signalized intersection while a Level of Service E or better is generally considered acceptable for an unsignalized intersection.
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997/2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

The results of the Synchro capacity analysis indicates that all traffic movements at the signalized intersection of Ridge Road with Orchard Park Road are operating at Levels of Service D or better during the two peak hours. The overall intersection is operating at Level of Service C during both peak hours. The maximum queues from the Synchro analysis indicate that existing eastbound queues on Ridge Road with the traffic volume adjustments are still less than 250 feet during both peak hours and do not reach the proposed site driveways during either peak hour.

The detailed Level of Service summary and capacity analysis printouts have been attached.
There are no noted concerns with existing traffic operations on Ridge Road in the vicinity of the project site as there are ample gaps in traffic and adequate sight lines in both directions. There are acceptable delays at the adjacent signalized intersection with acceptable Level of Service D or better for all traffic movements and minimal queuing concerns with relation to the proposed driveway locations. These findings are consistent with observations made during the data collection.

## Accident Analysis

An accident analysis was completed for intersection of Ridge Road with Orchard Park Road using history reports obtained for a three year period from November 2018 through October 2021. Over the three year period, there were 33 total accidents in the study area with 18 accidents at the signalized intersection, 11 accidents along Ridge Road (not at the intersection), 1 accident along Orchard Park Road (not at the intersection) and 3 accidents in area parking lots.

Of the 18 accidents at the Ridge Road / Orchard Park Road intersection, 8 were rearend accidents, 4 were right angle accidents, 3 were left turn accidents, 1 was an overtaking accident, 1 was a fixed object accident and 1 was an unknown accident type. Assuming that the evening peak hour volumes are $9 \%$ of the total daily traffic traveling through the intersection, the accident rate is 0.52 accidents per million entering vehicles, which is equal to the statewide average of 0.52 accidents per million entering vehicles for similar facilities.

There are no distinct patterns noted. The detailed accident summary has been attached.

## 2023 Background Operations

The proposed Burger King development is assumed to be completed by 2023, therefore 2023 was used as the design year for this study. In order to fully understand the impacts of the development on the adjacent roadway system, analysis of the operations immediately before the project opening must first be completed. The existing traffic volumes were first adjusted by a growth rate to account for any unknown development that may occur prior to completion of the project.
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

Historical traffic volumes along Ridge Road between Slade Road and Orchard Park Road were taken from the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer website and reviewed in order to identify an appropriate background growth rate. Long term growth rates in the area have been negative at $-1.3 \%$ per year between 2013 and 2019. In order to maintain a conservative analysis, a positive $+0.5 \%$ per year growth was chosen and used to grow the 2022 existing traffic volumes to the 2023 background condition. The 2023 background peak hour volumes for the study area with $0.5 \%$ growth are shown in Figure 2. The detailed growth calculations have been attached.

The Synchro capacity analysis of the 2023 background condition shows minimal increases in delay at the study area intersection during the peak hours. All movements continue to operate at Level of Service D or better during both peak hours with an overall intersection Levels of Service C.

The detailed Level of Service summary and capacity analysis printouts have been attached.

## Trip Generation Estimate and Distribution

The proposed development includes a 2,730 SF Burger King restaurant with drive through operations. Trips generated by the proposed development were estimated using the ITE Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, which is the industry accepted standard for estimating traffic generated by new developments. Land Use 934 - Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window was used.

Additionally, the ITE Trip Generation, was used to estimate the percentage of trips for the potential future development that would be pass-by trips. Pass-by trips are vehicles that stop at the development on their way to another location, such as stopping on their way to work in the morning or on their way home in the evening. These vehicles are already traveling on the roadway and are diverted to the site. Based on data reviewed, the average pass-by percentage for a fast food restaurant is $49 \%$ during the morning peak hour and $50 \%$ during the evening peak hour. A $50 \%$ pass-by trip generation rate was assumed for both peak hours.

The following table summarizes the trip generation estimate for the proposed Burger King development at 1997, 2003 \& 2007 Ridge Road in the Town of New West Seneca, NY.

Trip Generation Summary

|  | Morning Peak |  | Evening Peak |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entering | Exiting | Entering <br> Exiting |  |  |
| Burger King-2,730 SF | 62 | 60 | 47 | 43 |
| Pass-by Trips $-50 \%$ | $\underline{-30}$ | $\underline{-30}$ | $\underline{-22}$ | $\underline{-22}$ |
| New Trips Generated | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

The detailed trip generation calculations have been attached.
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

Overall the proposed development is minor traffic generator with 60 total trips entering and exiting during the morning peak hour and less than 50 total trips entering and exiting during the evening peak hour. With half of the trips generated being drawn from traffic already in the area, the site is only expected to generate 25-30 new trips entering and exiting the area during peak hours.

Based on existing traffic patterns and population centers in the area, $35 \%$ of the new trips generated are expected to travel to/from the west on Ridge Road, $30 \%$ will travel to from the east on Ridge Road, $25 \%$ will travel to/from the south on Orchard Park Road and $10 \%$ will travel to/from the north on Orchard Park Road. Separate pass-by trip distributions were developed for each peak hour based on specific traffic patterns passing the site and traveling through the adjacent intersection. The anticipated arrival/departure distribution for the morning and evening peak hours are shown in Figure 3. The trips generated during each peak hour are shown in Figure 4, and the resultant full build traffic volumes expected when the development is complete are shown in Figure 5.

## Build Operations

Based on the projected turning movements on Ridge Road at the site driveways, there are more than sufficient gaps available to accommodate the proposed development.

Capacity analysis of the build condition with the proposed Burger King development indicates that the development will have negligible impacts on traffic operations at the signalized intersection of Ridge Road with Orchard Park Road. All traffic movements at the signalized intersection are projected to continue to operate at Level of Service D or better during both peak hours with overall intersection Levels of Service C maintained. There are no delay increases of more than 1 second for any specific movement during either peak hour.

Maximum eastbound traffic queues on Ridge Road at the signal are projected to be less than 265 feet during both peak hours. Queues are not anticipated to have any significant impacts on traffic turning in or out of the site driveways. Restriping of the existing center lane hatched area to provide a short westbound left turn lane entering the full access driveway could be considered to avoid any impacts on westbound traffic flow if a vehicle has to temporarily wait to turn left into the site from Ridge Road.

The site driveways are projected to operate at a Level of Service C or better with 16 seconds or less of average delay per vehicle exiting the site during both peak hours. There are minimal delays projected on Ridge Road during both peak periods.

The detailed Level of Service summary and capacity analysis printouts have been attached.
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## Re: Traffic Impact Assessment Proposal - Proposed Burger King Development 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road - Town of West Seneca, NY

## Conclusions

The additional traffic generated by the proposed Burger King development will have no notable or significant impact on traffic operations on Ridge Road or at the adjacent Orchard Park Road intersection. The development will only average 1 vehicle entering and exiting per minute during the morning peak hour and 1 vehicle entering and exiting every $1-1 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes during the evening peak hour. There are adequate gaps in traffic to accommodate turning movements into and out of the development, adequate sight lines in each direction, no significant queuing concerns from the signal, and no capacity concerns.

There are no mitigation measures recommended. Restriping of the existing center lane hatched area to provide a short westbound left turn lane entering the full access driveway could be considered to avoid any impacts on westbound traffic flow if a vehicle has to temporarily wait to turn left into the site from Ridge Road.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call.
Sincerely,


Attachments - Site Plan
Traffic Volume Figures 1-5
Spot Speed Data
Trip Generation Estimate
Count Data
Level of Service Summary
Gap Calculations
Growth Rate Calculations
Accident Data
Synchro Capacity Printouts


Proposed Burger King Development
1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road, Town of West Seneca, NY

## Intersection Level of Service Summary

| Intersection | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 <br> Existing | $2023$ <br> Background | $\begin{gathered} 2023 \\ \text { Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022 \\ \text { Existing } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2023$ <br> Background | $\begin{aligned} & 2023 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road | C(21) | C(21) | C(22) | C(29) | C(29) | C(30) |
| EB Left | B(14) | B(14) | B(15) | B(17) | B(17) | B(17) |
| EB Through/Right | C(25) | C(25) | C(25) | C(31) | C(31) | C(31) |
| WB Left | B(16) | B(16) | B(16) | C(26) | C(26) | C(26) |
| WB Through/Right | B(20) | B(20) | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | C(23) | C(23) | C(24) |
| NB Left | B(18) | B(18) | B(19) | C(25) | C(25) | C(26) |
| NB Through/Right | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | C(21) | C(32) | C(32) | C(33) |
| SB Left | B(17) | B(17) | B(18) | C(32) | C(33) | C(33) |
| SB Through/Right | C(28) | C(28) | C(29) | D(36) | D(36) | D(37) |
| Ridge Road @ <br> West Full Access Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Through/Right | - | - | $\mathrm{a}(0)$ | - | - | a (0) |
| WB Left/Through | - | - | a(1) | - | - | a(1) |
| NB Left/Right | - | - | b(13) | - | - | b(12) |
| Ridge Road @ East Exit Only Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Through | - | - | $\mathrm{a}(0)$ | - | - | a (0) |
| WB Through | - | - | a(0) | - | - | $\mathrm{a}(0)$ |
| NB Left | - | - | b(14) | - | - | c(16) |
| NB Right |  |  | b(11) |  |  | b(11) |

A(9) - Signalized Level of Service (Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds) - Synchro
a(9) - Unsignalized Level of Service (Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds) - Synchro


Morning Peak Hour - 8:00-9:00am
Evening Peak Hour - 4:30-5:30pm


## 2022 Adjusted Existing Traffic Volumes

Morning Peak Hour - Increased by 10\%
Evening Peak Hour - Increased by 25\%

Proposed Burger King Development - Ridge Road, West Seneca, NY
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes \& 2022 Adjusted Existing Traffic Volumes
Morning (Evening) Peak Hour

GTS Consulting


Proposed Burger King Development - Ridge Road, West Seneca, NY
2023 Background Traffic Volumes - With 0.5\% Growth
Morning (Evening) Peak Hour
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Figure 2
Not to Scale


Proposed Burger King Development - Ridge Road, West Seneca, NY
Arrival / Departure Trip Distribution
New (Pass-by) Trip Percentage
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Figure 3
Not to Scale


## Proposed Burger King Development - Ridge Road, West Seneca, NY

Trips Generated
New (Pass-by) Trips


## Intersection Gap Study

Project:
Date:
Intersection:
Movement:

Time Interval
Proposed Burger King Development - 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road, Town of West Seneca, NY
T Consulting 1/19/2022

Site Access @ Ridge Road Right Turns Exiting / Left Turns Entering
6-9 sec
x 1
10-13 sec x 2
$14-17 \mathrm{sec}$
$\times 3$
18-19 sec
x 4
20-23 sec
$\times 5$
24-25 sec x 6
26-29 sec x 7

 Total

Hour Total

Morning Peak Hour

| 8:00-8:15am | \# of Gaps | 5 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ |  |
| 8:15-8:30am | \# of Gaps | 18 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |  |
| 8:30-8:45am | \# of Gaps | 16 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 6 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ |  |
| 8:45-9:00am | \# of Gaps | 16 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 4}$ |

Evening Peak Hour

| 4:30-4:45pm | \# of Gaps | 4 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 4 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 107 |  |
| 4:45-5:00pm | \# of Gaps | 14 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 14 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 103 |  |
| 5:00-5:15pm | \# of Gaps | 9 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 9 | 18 | 6 | 16 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 99 |  |
| 5:15-5:30pm | \# of Gaps | 13 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 13 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 87 | 396 |

## Intersection Gap Study

Project:
Date:
Proposed Burger King Development - 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road, Town of West Seneca, NY 1/19/2022

Site Access @ Ridge Road
Intersection:
Movement:

Time Interval Left Turns Exiting
6-9 sec
x 1
$10-13 \mathrm{sec}$
x 2
$14-17 \mathrm{sec}$
x 3
18-19 sec
x 4
20-23 sec
$\times 5$
24-25 sec
26-29 sec



Hour Total

Morning Peak Hour

| 8:00-8:15am | \# of Gaps | 12 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |  |
| 8:15-8:30am | \# of Gaps | 15 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| 8:30-8:45am | \# of Gaps | 22 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |  |
| 8:45-9:00am | \# of Gaps | 23 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

Evening Peak Hour

| 4:30-4:45pm | \# of Gaps | 8 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 8 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 62 |  |
| 4:45-5:00pm | \# of Gaps | 21 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 21 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 63 |  |
| 5:00-5:15pm | \# of Gaps | 11 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 11 | 22 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |  |
| 5:15-5:30pm | \# of Gaps | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
|  | \# of Vehicles | 15 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 51 | 241 |

Distance Travelled $(\mathrm{ft})=140$

## EB Time Calculated <br> Seconds Speed

3

| 3 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.88 | 33 |

$2.88 \quad 33$
$2.84 \quad 34$
$2.75 \quad 35$
2.6836
$2.66 \quad 36$
2.6536
$2.64 \quad 36$
2.6137
$2.6 \quad 37$
2.56
2.55
$2.53 \quad 38$
2.5
2.5
$2.49 \quad 38$
$2.44 \quad 39$
$2.43 \quad 39$
2.4140

Eastbound

| Average Speed $=$ | 39 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| 85th Percentile Speed $=$ | 42 mph |

50 Speed Measurements per Direction

| EB Time | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seconds | Speed |
| 2.4 | 40 |
| 2.4 | 40 |
| 2.39 | 40 |
| 2.37 | 40 |
| 2.37 | 40 |
| 2.37 | 40 |
| 2.35 | 41 |
| 2.35 | 41 |
| 2.34 | 41 |
| 2.34 | 41 |
| 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.3 | 42 |
| 2.29 | 42 |
| 2.28 | 42 |
| 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.22 | 43 |
| 2.18 | 44 |
| 2.16 | 44 |
| 2.14 | 45 |
| 2.09 | 46 |
|  | 4 |

39 mph
42 mph

Speed Limit 35 mph

| WB Time | Calculated | WB Time | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seconds | Speed | Seconds | Speed |
| 2.69 | 35 | 2.32 | 41 |
| 2.63 | 36 | 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.6 | 37 | 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.56 | 37 | 2.31 | 41 |
| 2.56 | 37 | 2.3 | 42 |
| 2.56 | 37 | 2.29 | 42 |
| 2.55 | 37 | 2.28 | 42 |
| 2.54 | 38 | 2.28 | 42 |
| 2.53 | 38 | 2.27 | 42 |
| 2.5 | 38 | 2.27 | 42 |
| 2.5 | 38 | 2.27 | 42 |
| 2.47 | 39 | 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.46 | 39 | 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.43 | 39 | 2.25 | 42 |
| 2.41 | 40 | 2.24 | 43 |
| 2.41 | 40 | 2.22 | 43 |
| 2.39 | 40 | 2.22 | 43 |
| 2.38 | 40 | 2.22 | 43 |
| 2.38 | 40 | 2.2 | 43 |
| 2.38 | 40 | 2.19 | 44 |
| 2.37 | 40 | 2.16 | 44 |
| 2.35 | 41 | 2.14 | 45 |
| 2.35 | 41 | 2.11 | 45 |
| 2.34 | 41 | 2.1 | 45 |
| 2.32 | 41 | 2.07 | 46 |
|  |  |  | 42 |

Westbound

| Average Speed $=$ | 41 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| 85th Percentile Speed $=$ | 43 mph |

## Background Traffic Growth Calculations

## Proposed Burger KIng Development, 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road

Historical Traffic Counts Taken from the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer Website

Bidge Road - Between Slade Road and Orchard Park Road


Use $+0.5 \%$ annual growth for conservative traffic projections

# Proposed Burger King Development 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road, Town of West Seneca, NY <br> Trip Generation Estimate 

| AM Peak Hour | 44.61 Trips $/ 1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |  | $51 \%$ Enter | $49 \%$ Exit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PM Peak Hour | 33.03 Trips $/ 1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $52 \%$ Enter | $48 \%$ Exit |  |

Pass-by Trip Percentages
Fast Food Restaurant - AM - 49\%, PM - 50\% - Use 50\% both peak hours
Trip Generation Summary

|  | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development | Size | Total Trips | Entering | Exiting | Total Trips | Entering | Exiting |
| Burger King | 2,730 SF | 122 | 62 | 60 | 90 | 47 | 43 |
| Pass-by Trips $-50 \%$ | $\underline{-60}$ | $\underline{-30}$ | $\underline{-30}$ | $\underline{-44}$ | $\underline{-22}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{- 2 2}}$ |  |
| New Trips Generated | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |  |

# Proposed Burger King Development - 1997 / 2003 / 2007 Ridge Road, Town of West Seneca, NY 

 Accident History Summaries - November 1, 2018 Through October 31, 2021| Accident \# | Date | Location | Type | \# Cars | Severity | Direction | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11/12/2018 | Midblock Ridge Road | Overtaking | 2 | PDO | WB Changing Lanes / WB | Dry |
| 2 | 2/18/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Left Turn | 2 | INJ | WB Left / EB | Dry |
| 3 | 4/10/2019 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | SB Left / EB | Dry |
| 4 | 6/10/2019 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / EB | Dry |
| 5 | 6/27/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Right Angle | 2 | PDO | NB Right / EB | Dry |
| 6 | 8/1/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Overtaking | 2 | PDO | NB Right / NB Left | Dry |
| 7 | 8/3/2019 | Ridge Rd@ Orchard Park Rd | Fixed Object | 1 | PDO | NB / Guide Rail | Dry |
| 8 | 8/21/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | INJ | Unknown / Unknown | Unknown |
| 9 | 11/10/2019 | Ridge Rd@ Orchard Park Rd | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | SB Left / EB | Dry |
| 10 | 12/7/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Right Angle | 2 | INJ | EB / WB Left | Dry |
| 11 | 12/24/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | PDO | EB / EB Stopped | Dry |
| 12 | 12/31/2019 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | WB Left / EB | Wet |
| 13 | 1/15/2020 | Orchard Park Road | Rearend | 2 | PDO | NB / NB Stopped | Dry |
| 14 | 1/17/2020 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | PDO | WB / WB Stopped | Dry |
| 15 | 12/18/2019 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Right Angle | 2 | INJ | WB / NB Right | Wet |
| 16 | 3/9/2020 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | INJ | NB / NB Stopped | Dry |
| 17 | 5/16/2020 | Ridge Rd@ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | PDO | NB / NB Stopped | Dry |
| 18 | 9/11/2020 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 3 | INJ | EB / EB Stopped (2) | Dry |
| 19 | 10/7/2020 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Unknown | 2 | PDO | Unknown / EB Stopped | Wet |
| 20 | 10/16/2020 | Parking Lot | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / WB Parked | Dry |
| 21 | 1/5/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Right Angle | 2 | PDO | SB Left / WB | Dry |
| 22 | 1/11/2021 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 3 | INJ | NB / NB / NB Stopped | Wet |
| 23 | 3/6/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Rearend | 2 | PDO | EB / EB | Dry |
| 24 | 3/5/2021 | Parking Lot | Sideswipe | 2 | PDO | NB / SB Parked | Dry |
| 25 | 5/24/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / EB | Dry |
| 26 | 6/4/2021 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Right Angle | 2 | INJ | SB/EB | Dry |
| 27 | 7/8/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / EB | Wet |
| 28 | 8/5/2021 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / WB | Dry |
| 29 | 7/21/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Rearend | 2 | PDO | EB / EB | Dry |
| 30 | 8/14/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | WB Left / EB | Dry |
| 31 | 8/18/2021 | Midblock Ridge Road | Left Turn | 2 | PDO | NB Left / WB | Wet |
| 32 | 8/28/2021 | Parking Lot | Overtaking | 2 | PDO | NB / NB Parked | Dry |
| 33 | 9/15/2021 | Ridge Rd @ Orchard Park Rd | Rearend | 2 | PDO | NB Uturn / NB Stopped | Dry |

## Contributing Factors

Alcohol Involvement
Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Not Entered
Not Entered
Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Following Too Closely
Turning Improper
Following Too Closely
Following Too Closely
Failure to Yield ROW
Other Vehicle
Driver Inattention Prescription Medication Unknown
Turning Improper
Failure to Yield ROW
Following Too Closely
Following Too Closely
Unsafe Lane Change Reaction ot Another Vehicle

Failure to Yield ROW
Failure to Yield ROW
Turning Improper
Following Too Closely
Failure to Yield ROW
Turning Improper
Other Vehicle
Reaction ot Another Vehicle

Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road - 18 Accidents Ridge Road - Not at Intersection-11 Accidents
8 - Rearend Accidents
4 - Right Angle Accidents
3 - Left Turn Accidents
1 - Overtaking Accident
1 - Fixed Object Accident
1 - Unknown Accident

Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road Intersection - Evening Peak Hour - 2,870 Vehicles. Assumed PM Peak is $9 \%$ of AADT, AADT = 31,889 Vehicles Intersection Accident Rates
\# Accidents X 1,000,000

$$
\frac{\# \text { Aclaents } X 1,000,000}{\text { ADT } X \text { Years } X 365 \text { Days } \quad \text { Time Period }=3 \text { years } . ~}
$$

## Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road - 18 Accidents

Accident Rate $=0.52$ accidents per million entering vehicles
Statewide average for similar facilities $=0.52$ accidents per million entering vehicles (Urban - 4 Legged Signal Intersection - 1-4 Lanes)
Intersection Accident History is the Same as the Statewide Average

File Name : Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 1/19/2022
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Cars \& HV

|  | Orchard Park Road Southbound |  |  |  | Ridge Road Westbound |  |  |  | Orchard Park Road Northbound |  |  |  | Ridge Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | HV | Right | Thru | Left | HV | Right | Thru | Left | HV | Right | Thru | Left | HV | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 21 | 26 | 1 | 28 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 38 | 38 | 32 | 1 | 15 | 60 | 7 | 3 | 327 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 37 | 43 | 2 | 38 | 69 | 29 | 7 | 69 | 47 | 39 | 2 | 11 | 73 | 9 | 4 | 483 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 55 | 44 | 2 | 32 | 57 | 30 | 4 | 21 | 50 | 61 | 3 | 12 | 53 | 15 | 2 | 445 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 47 | 22 | 2 | 27 | 67 | 26 | 4 | 22 | 42 | 51 | 2 | 29 | 93 | 20 | 4 | 461 |
| Total | 15 | 160 | 135 | 7 | 125 | 226 | 99 | 21 | 150 | 177 | 183 | 8 | 67 | 279 | 51 | 13 | 1716 |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 38 | 27 | 1 | 31 | 59 | 22 | 6 | 19 | 42 | 39 | 1 | 25 | 76 | 15 | 5 | 413 |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 48 | 21 | 2 | 40 | 64 | 18 | 6 | 28 | 43 | 37 | 2 | 34 | 73 | 20 | 5 | 447 |
| 08:30 AM | 10 | 46 | 44 | 1 | 43 | 52 | 28 | 4 | 42 | 40 | 42 | 2 | 31 | 62 | 15 | 4 | 466 |
| 08:45 AM | 14 | 46 | 29 | 1 | 32 | 68 | 42 | 6 | 28 | 56 | 53 | 1 | 27 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 494 |
| Total | 37 | 178 | 121 | 5 | 146 | 243 | 110 | 22 | 117 | 181 | 171 | 6 | 117 | 283 | 64 | 19 | 1820 |


| 04:00 PM | 6 | 62 | 58 | 2 | 42 | 78 | 37 | 4 | 35 | 48 | 39 | 0 | 48 | 87 | 9 | 2 | 557 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 17 | 61 | 48 | 0 | 44 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 25 | 54 | 39 | 2 | 47 | 79 | 20 | 4 | 572 |
| 04:30 PM | 17 | 59 | 45 | 4 | 48 | 78 | 60 | 4 | 31 | 45 | 42 | 2 | 40 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 574 |
| 04:45 PM | 17 | 61 | 60 | 2 | 54 | 69 | 46 | 6 | 28 | 51 | 33 | 4 | 50 | 71 | 19 | 4 | 575 |
| Total | 57 | 243 | 211 | 8 | 188 | 305 | 195 | 14 | 119 | 198 | 153 | 8 | 185 | 325 | 59 | 10 | 2278 |
| 05:00 PM | 13 | 91 | 48 | 2 | 61 | 84 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 51 | 24 | 2 | 37 | 91 | 7 | 2 | 593 |
| 05:15 PM | 14 | 72 | 50 | 0 | 39 | 89 | 42 | 2 | 34 | 66 | 40 | 0 | 42 | 83 | 14 | 2 | 589 |
| 05:30 PM | 10 | 72 | 52 | 2 | 61 | 71 | 35 | 4 | 41 | 47 | 39 | 2 | 45 | 69 | 9 | 4 | 563 |
| 05:45 PM | 12 | 67 | 36 | 0 | 43 | 93 | 31 | 0 | 20 | 43 | 21 | 0 | 41 | 93 | 8 | 0 | 508 |
| Total | 49 | 302 | 186 | 4 | 204 | 337 | 158 | 6 | 125 | 207 | 124 | 4 | 165 | 336 | 38 | 8 | 2253 |
| Grand Total | 158 | 883 | 653 | 24 | 663 | 1111 | 562 | 63 | 511 | 763 | 631 | 26 | 534 | 1223 | 212 | 50 | 8067 |
| Apprch \% | 9.2 | 51.4 | 38 | 1.4 | 27.6 | 46.3 | 23.4 | 2.6 | 26.5 | 39.5 | 32.7 | 1.3 | 26.4 | 60.6 | 10.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Total \% | 2 | 10.9 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 13.8 | 7 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 6.6 | 15.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 |  |

File Name : Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 1/19/2022
Page No : 2

|  | Orchard Park Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Ridge Road Westbound |  |  |  |  | Orchard Park Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Ridge Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 38 | 27 | 1 | 73 | 31 | 59 | 22 | 6 | 118 | 19 | 42 | 39 | 1 | 101 | 25 | 76 | 15 | 5 | 121 | 413 |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 48 | 21 | 2 | 77 | 40 | 64 | 18 | 6 | 128 | 28 | 43 | 37 | 2 | 110 | 34 | 73 | 20 | 5 | 132 | 447 |
| 08:30 AM | 10 | 46 | 44 | 1 | 101 | 43 | 52 | 28 | 4 | 127 | 42 | 40 | 42 | 2 | 126 | 31 | 62 | 15 | 4 | 112 | 466 |
| 08:45 AM | 14 | 46 | 29 | 1 | 90 | 32 | 68 | 42 | 6 | 148 | 28 | 56 | 53 | 1 | 138 | 27 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 118 | 494 |
| Total Volume | 37 | 178 | 121 | 5 | 341 | 146 | 243 | 110 | 22 | 521 | 117 | 181 | 171 | 6 | 475 | 117 | 283 | 64 | 19 | 483 | 1820 |
| \% App. Total | 10.9 | 52.2 | 35.5 | 1.5 |  | 28 | 46.6 | 21.1 | 4.2 |  | 24.6 | 38.1 | 36 | 1.3 |  | 24.2 | 58.6 | 13.3 | 3.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 661 | . 927 | . 688 | . 625 | . 844 | . 849 | . 893 | . 655 | . 917 | . 880 | . 696 | . 808 | . 807 | . 750 | . 861 | . 860 | . 931 | . 800 | . 950 | . 915 | . 921 |



File Name : Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 1/19/2022
Page No : 3

|  | Orchard Park Road Southbound |  |  |  |  | Ridge Road Westbound |  |  |  |  | Orchard Park Road Northbound |  |  |  |  | Ridge Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | HV | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 17 | 59 | 45 | 4 | 125 | 48 | 78 | 60 | 4 | 190 | 31 | 45 | 42 | 2 | 120 | 40 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 139 | 574 |
| 04:45 PM | 17 | 61 | 60 | 2 | 140 | 54 | 69 | 46 | 6 | 175 | 28 | 51 | 33 | 4 | 116 | 50 | 71 | 19 | 4 | 144 | 575 |
| 05:00 PM | 13 | 91 | 48 | 2 | 154 | 61 | 84 | 50 | 0 | 195 | 30 | 51 | 24 | 2 | 107 | 37 | 91 | 7 | 2 | 137 | 593 |
| 05:15 PM | 14 | 72 | 50 | 0 | 136 | 39 | 89 | 42 | 2 | 172 | 34 | 66 | 40 | 0 | 140 | 42 | 83 | 14 | 2 | 141 | 589 |
| Total Volume | 61 | 283 | 203 | 8 | 555 | 202 | 320 | 198 | 12 | 732 | 123 | 213 | 139 | 8 | 483 | 169 | 333 | 51 | 8 | 561 | 2331 |
| \% App. Total | 11 | 51 | 36.6 | 1.4 |  | 27.6 | 43.7 | 27 | 1.6 |  | 25.5 | 44.1 | 28.8 | 1.7 |  | 30.1 | 59.4 | 9.1 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 897 | . 777 | . 846 | . 500 | . 901 | . 828 | . 899 | . 825 | . 500 | . 938 | . 904 | . 807 | . 827 | . 500 | . 863 | . 845 | . 915 | . 671 | . 500 | . 974 | . 983 |



File Name : Ridge Road @ Orchard Park Road
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 1/19/2022
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Peds


| $07: 45$ AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| $00: 30 \mathrm{AM} \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mid$ | 2 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mid$ |


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Total $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 |



| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{gathered} \rightarrow \\ \text { EBT } \end{gathered}$ | EBR | WBL | WBT |  | 4 NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Delay | 14.3 | 25.1 |  | 16.0 | 19.9 |  | 17.5 | 20.6 |  | 16.9 | 27.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 14.3 | 25.1 |  | 16.0 | 19.9 |  | 17.5 | 20.6 |  | 16.9 | 27.8 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  | B | B |  | B | C |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.6 |  |  | 19.0 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 23.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 20 | 91 |  | 37 | 78 |  | 61 | 54 |  | 43 | 55 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 50 | 161 |  | 79 | 138 |  | 128 | 110 |  | 92 | 101 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 229 |  |  | 626 |  |  | 583 |  |  | 512 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 195 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 375 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 563 | 2013 |  | 513 | 2017 |  | 579 | 2024 |  | 575 | 2052 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.24 |  | 0.27 | 0.24 |  | 0.38 | 0.19 |  | 0.27 | 0.14 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.6
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55

Intersection Signal Delay: 21.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.7\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road




Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road



| Lane Group | $\stackrel{*}{\text { EBL }}$ | $\overrightarrow{\text { EBT }}$ | EBR | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | ¢ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Delay | 14.4 | 25.3 |  | 16.1 | 19.9 |  | 17.6 | 20.8 |  | 17.1 | 27.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 14.4 | 25.3 |  | 16.1 | 19.9 |  | 17.6 | 20.8 |  | 17.1 | 27.9 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  | B | B |  | B | C |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.8 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 19.6 |  |  | 23.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 20 | 92 |  | 37 | 78 |  | 62 | 55 |  | 44 | 56 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 50 | 163 |  | 80 | 140 |  | 129 | 112 |  | 95 | 102 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 229 |  |  | 626 |  |  | 583 |  |  | 512 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 195 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 375 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 561 | 2007 |  | 511 | 2013 |  | 578 | 2019 |  | 571 | 2046 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.24 |  | 0.27 | 0.24 |  | 0.38 | 0.19 |  | 0.29 | 0.14 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.9
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55
Intersection Signal Delay: $21.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.9\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road



| Lane Group | EBL Eb | $\xrightarrow[\text { EBT }]{\rightarrow}$ | EBR | WBL | WBT |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Delay | 16.8 | 30.6 |  | 26.2 | 23.2 |  | 24.8 | 32.3 |  | 32.6 | 36.0 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  | C | C |  | C | C |  | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.3 |  |  | 24.1 |  |  | 30.1 |  |  | 34.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 21 | 166 |  | 100 | 160 |  | 83 | 124 |  | 120 | 135 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 51 | 253 |  | 183 | 256 |  | 150 | 186 |  | \#242 | 217 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 229 |  |  | 626 |  |  | 583 |  |  | 512 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 195 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 375 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 471 | 1628 |  | 423 | 1641 |  | 450 | 1618 |  | 421 | 1632 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.39 |  | 0.64 | 0.43 |  | 0.46 | 0.31 |  | 0.67 | 0.29 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 98.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 29.2 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.5\% ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road



| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{gathered} \rightarrow \\ \text { EBT } \end{gathered}$ | EBR | WBL | WBT |  | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | ¢ | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Delay | 14.5 | 25.3 |  | 16.1 | 20.8 |  | 18.5 | 20.8 |  | 17.5 | 28.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 14.5 | 25.3 |  | 16.1 | 20.8 |  | 18.5 | 20.8 |  | 17.5 | 28.5 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  | B | C |  | B | C |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.7 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 24.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 23 | 100 |  | 37 | 86 |  | 70 | 55 |  | 44 | 60 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 54 | 172 |  | 78 | 148 |  | 140 | 110 |  | 93 | 104 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 229 |  |  | 626 |  |  | 583 |  |  | 512 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 195 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 170 |  |  | 375 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 553 | 1964 |  | 503 | 1971 |  | 561 | 1980 |  | 566 | 1996 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.26 |  | 0.27 | 0.25 |  | 0.42 | 0.19 |  | 0.28 | 0.15 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 78.5
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.56
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.8 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.3\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个t |  | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | Y |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 505 | 198 | 43 | 504 | 5 | 11 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 505 | 198 | 43 | 504 | 5 | 11 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 80 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 88 | 88 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 555 | 218 | 49 | 573 | 6 | 12 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0773 | 01049 | 387 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 664 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | 385 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 4.14 | - 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 2.22 | - 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 838 | - 223 | 611 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 474 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 657 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 838 | - 210 | 611 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - - | - 338 | - |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - 474 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 619 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.8 | 12.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 488 | - | -838 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.036 | - | -0.058 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.7 | - | - | 9.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 | - |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | * | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 516 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 14 | 30 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 516 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 14 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3471 | 0 | 0 | 3471 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3471 | 0 | 0 | 3471 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  |  | 35 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 124 |  |  | 309 | 154 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 2.4 |  |  | 6.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 567 | 0 | 0 | 606 | 16 | 33 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | 12 |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | - |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 9 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |

Intersection Summary
Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | - - | 870 | 284 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 567 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 303 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - - | - 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 00 | - 291 | 713 |
| Stage 1 | - | 00 | - 531 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | 00 | - 723 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - - | - 291 | 713 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - - | - 406 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 531 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 723 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBT | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 406 | 713 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.038 | 0.047 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 14.2 | 10.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - |


| Lane Group | EBL | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $\bullet$ WBT | WBR | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | ¢ | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ SBT | $\downarrow$ SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 性 |  | ＊ | 蚛 |  | ＊ | 个 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ＊ | 个 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 70 | 428 | 223 | 247 | 413 | 252 | 184 | 265 | 154 | 253 | 353 | 84 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 70 | 428 | 223 | 247 | 413 | 252 | 184 | 265 | 154 | 253 | 353 | 84 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 195 |  | 0 | 125 |  | 0 | 170 |  | 270 | 375 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 75 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 75 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3359 | 0 | 1770 | 3337 | 0 | 1770 | 3345 | 0 | 1770 | 3447 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.325 |  |  | 0.216 |  |  | 0.348 |  |  | 0.293 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 605 | 3359 | 0 | 402 | 3337 | 0 | 648 | 3345 | 0 | 546 | 3447 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 71 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 19 |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 309 |  |  | 706 |  |  | 663 |  |  | 592 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 6.0 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 10.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 71 | 657 | 0 | 268 | 723 | 0 | 216 | 493 | 0 | 281 | 476 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 15.0 |  | 7.0 | 14.6 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.3 | 20.3 |  | 11.3 | 20.3 |  | 11.3 | 20.3 |  | 11.3 | 20.3 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 14．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  |
| Maximum Green（s） | 15.7 | 45.1 |  | 15.7 | 45.1 |  | 15.7 | 44.7 |  | 15.7 | 44.7 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.9 |  | 3.2 | 3.9 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.1 | 1.4 |  | 1.1 | 1.4 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.3 | 4.9 |  | 4.3 | 4.9 |  | 4.3 | 5.3 |  | 4.3 | 5.3 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 38.1 | 29.0 |  | 48.2 | 37.7 |  | 36.7 | 22.1 |  | 38.7 | 23.1 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.29 |  | 0.49 | 0.38 |  | 0.37 | 0.22 |  | 0.39 | 0.23 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.21 | 0.64 |  | 0.69 | 0.54 |  | 0.55 | 0.61 |  | 0.72 | 0.58 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.9 | 30.5 |  | 26.3 | 23.7 |  | 26.1 | 32.7 |  | 33.2 | 36.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Orchard Park Road \& Ridge Road




| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 711 | 0 | 1133 | 356 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 704 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 429 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.22 | - | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 884 | - | 197 | 640 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 452 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 624 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 884 | - | 189 | 640 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 319 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 452 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 598 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.4 | 12.4 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 502 | - | -884 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.024 | - | -0.041 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.4 | - | - | 9.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | * | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 698 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 9 | 23 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 698 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 9 | 23 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3539 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Link Speed (mph) | 35 |  |  | 35 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 124 |  |  | 309 | 154 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 2.4 |  |  | 6.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 705 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 10 | 26 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) | 12 |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(tt) | 16 |  |  | 16 | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 9 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |

Intersection Summary
Area Type: Other
Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 29.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 698 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 9 | 23 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 698 | 0 | 0 | 681 | 9 | 23 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 99 | 99 | 92 | 92 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 705 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 10 | 26 |
| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | - | - |  | 1075 | 353 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 705 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 370 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 0 | 0 | - | 214 | 643 |
| Stage 1 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - |
| Stage 2 | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver |  | - | - | - | 214 | 643 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - | - | - | 338 | - |
| Stage 1 |  |  | - | - | 451 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 669 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 12.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 | EBT | WBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 338 | 643 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.03 | 0.04 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 16 | 10.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - |

